



MAKATS E.F.

BIOPHYSICAL REALITY OF THE MATRIX PROGNOSIS ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF "FIVE ELEMENTS (THE STAR CYCLE)" AND "MOTHER-SON (THROUGH THE BIG CIRCLE)"

PhD, associate professor (Vinnytsia National medical university named after Pirogov M.I., Ukraine)

Here, we introduce the developed Model and draw your attention to the fact that its graphological structure biophysically identifies the entire systemic interdependency. At the same time, it transforms traditional Acupuncture therapy into the status of evidence-based medicine and conditions its scientific value in therapeutic and rehabilitation practice ...

Key words: Zhenjiu therapy, acupuncture channels, functional vegetology.

Наводимо розроблену Модель і звертаємо увагу що її графологічна структура біофізично ідентифікує всю системну взаємозалежність. При цьому вона переводить традиційну Голкотерапію в статус доказової медицини і обумовлює її наукове значення в терапевтичній і реабілітаційній практиці...

Ключові слова: Чжень-цзю терапія, акупунктурні канали, функціональна вегетологія.

Наводим разработанную Модель и обращаем внимание что ее графологическая структура биологический идентифицирует всю системную взаимозависимость. При этом она переводит традиционную Иглотерапию в статус доказательной медицины и обуславливает ее научное значение в терапевтической и реабилитационной практике.

Ключевые слова: Чжень-цзю терапия, акупунктурні канали, функціональна вегетологія.

Introduction

One of the issues of acupuncture therapy is the need for valid prognosis of the effects of therapeutic and rehabilitation algorithms based on the Matrix expertise of systemic dependency. At the same time, it is necessary to empirically resolve the following issues:

- is it possible to predict future dynamics of systemic dependency on the basis of graphological structure of the Matrix [contrary to the traditional rules of the FIVE ELEMENTS (SERIES STAR) and MOTHER-SON in a Large circle]?

- to determine the level of reliability of Matrix "identification of systemic dependency"?

- to prove the correlation of Matrix identification of systemic dependency with its biophysical reality?


As the evidence, we have chosen Matrix analysis of the revealed practical mistakes of traditional acupuncture. They have not passed the preliminary biophysical examination and have been already known to our possible opponents...

Bearing in mind the lack of significant gender-age peculiarities, let us view the problematic questions on the example of the female group. At the same time, let us remember, that the unsplit lines of the Matrix define the synchronous systemic dependency, while dashed lines determine asynchronous ones.

1. BIOPHYSICAL REALITY OF THE MATRIX PROGNOSIS ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF "FIVE ELEMENTS (THE STAR CYCLE)"

Matrix examination of theoretical provisions of the STAR Cycle provides evidence of complete compliance of biophysical reality with the graphological structure of the Matrix. Let us observe the issue on separate examples.

Growth of **BL** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels GB-TE (fig. 1.1). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For "-GB" (+ BL = -GB), for "-TE" (+ BL = + SP -TE). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig.1.1 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+BL**

Growth of **SP** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels LU–KI (fig. 1.2). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–LU” (+SP=+BL–LU), for “–KI” (+SP= –KI). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig. 1.2 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+SP**

Growth of **LI** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels BL–GB (fig. 1.3). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–BL” (+LI= +LU–BL), for “–GB” (+LI= +TE I –GB). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig. 1.3 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+LI**

Growth of **TE** activity leads to $\cap$ –ST and +LI (fig. 1.4). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “ $\cap$ –ST” ” (+TE= I –ST +LI), for “+LI” (+ TE = + LI). In this way we can predict the activity of

any of the introduced channels...




Fig. 1.4 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+TE**

Growth of **SI** activity leads to $-ST$ and $+LI$ (fig. 1.5). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “ $-ST$ ” ($+SI = +LI$ $I - ST$), for “ $+LI$ ” ($+SI = +LI$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig. 1.5 5 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+SI**

Growth of **LU** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels **KI-LR** (fig. 1.6). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “ $-KI$ ” ($+LU = +PC - KI$), for “ $-LR$ ” ($+LU = -LR$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig. 1.6 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+LU**

Growth of **PC** activity leads to $-SP$ and $+LU$ (fig. 1.7). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “ $-SP$ ” ($+PC =$

+HT–SP), for “+LU” (+PC= +LU). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig. 1.7 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+PC**

Growth of **HT** activity leads to U–SP and +LU (fig. 1.8). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “U–SP” (+HT= –SP), for “+LU” (+HT= +LU). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig. 1.8 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+HT**

Growth of **ST** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels LI–BL (fig. 1.9). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–LI” (+ST=–LI), for “–BL” (+ST=–BL). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig. 1.9 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+ST**

Growth of **GB** activity leads to –SI and +ST (fig. 1.10). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–SI”

(+GB=+LR-SI), for "+ST" (+GB=+ST). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.1.10 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+GB**

Growth of **GB** activity leads to $\cap$ -TE and +ST (fig. 1.11). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $\cap$ -TE" (+GB=I-TE), for "+ST" (+GB=+ST). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig.1.11 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+GB**

Growth of **KI** activity leads to +LR and -HT (fig. 1.12). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For "+LR" (+KI=+LR), for "-HT" (+KI=-PC-HT). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig. 1.12 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+KI**

Growth of **KI** activity leads to +LR and -PC (fig. 1.13). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For "+LR" (+KI=+LR), for "-PC" (+KI=-PC). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the in

roduced channels...




Fig. 1.13 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+KI**

Growth of **LR** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels HT–SP (fig. 1.14). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–HT” (+LR= +GB–HT), for “–SP” (+LR= –SP). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.1.14 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+LR**

Growth of **LR** activity leads to oppression of the acupuncture channels PC–SP (fig. 1.15). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “–PC” (+LR= –LU–PC), for “–SP” (+LR= –SP). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig.1.15 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (STAR Cycle) under **+LR**

Conclusion

1. Matrix examination of systemic biophysical dependency on the basis of the rules of

the cycle of "STAR" confirms experimental data and structural consistency "Makats's vegetative matrix".

2. The introduced Matrix is functionally universal.

2. BIOPHYSICAL REALITY OF THE MATRIX PROGNOSIS ACCORDING TO THE RULE "MOTHER-SON (THROUGH THE BIG CIRCLE)"

Matrix examination of theoretical propositions according to the rule MOTHER-SON (through the Big circle) indicates complete compliance of biophysical reality with the graphological structure of the Matrix. Let us observe the issue on separate examples.

Growth of **BL** activity leads to $\neg$ SI and $\neg$ KI (fig. 2.1). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $\neg$ SI" ($+BL=SI$), for " $\neg$ KI" ($+BL=-KI$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.1 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+BL**

Growth of **SP** activity leads to $\neg$ ST and $\neg$ HT (fig. 2.2). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $\neg$ ST" ($+SP=-ST$), for " $\neg$ HT" ($+SP=-HT$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.2 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+SP**

Growth of **LI** activity leads to $+LU$ and $\neg$ ST (fig. 2.3). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $+LU$ " ($+LI=+LU$), for " $\neg$ ST" ($+LI=-ST$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig.2.3 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+LI**

Growth of **TE** activity leads to $-PC$ and $\cap$ -GB (fig. 2.4). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $-PC$ " ($+TE = -PC$), for " $\cap$ -GB" ($+TE = \cap$ GB). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig.2.4 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+TE**

Growth of **SI** activity leads to $+HT$ and $\cap$ -BL (fig.2.5). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $+HT$ " ($+SI = +HT$), for " $\cap$ -BL" ($+SI = \cap$ BL). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...

Fig.2.5 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+SI**

Growth of **LU** activity leads to $-LR$ and $+LI$ (fig. 2.6). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $-LR$ " ($+LU = -LR$),

for "+LI" (+LU= +LI). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.6 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+LU**

Growth of **PC** activity leads to $-KI$ and $\cap-TE$ (fig. 2.7). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $-KI$ " (+PC= $-KI$), for " $\cap-TE$ " (+PC= $\cap TE$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.7 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+PC**

Growth of **HT** activity leads to $Y-SP$ and $+SI$ (fig.2.8). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $Y-SP$ " (+HT= $Y-SP$), for "+SI" (+HT= $+SI$). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...



Fig.2.8 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+HT**

Growth of **ST** activity leads to $-LI$ and $-SP$ (fig.2.9). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For " $-LI$ " (+ST= $-LI$),

for "–SP" (+ST= –SP). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.9 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+ST**

Growth of **GB** activity leads to (+TE and +LR (fig. 2.10). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For "–LI" (+ST= –LI), for "–SP" (+ST= –SP). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels...




Fig.2.10 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+GB**

Growth of **KI** activity leads to (–BL and –PC (fig. 2.11). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For "Y–BL" (+KI= Y–BL), for "–PC" (+KI= –PC). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels ...



Fig.2.11 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+KI**

Growth of **LR** activity leads to **+GB** and **–LU** (fig. 2.12). In this case, Matrix connections indicate the ways of biophysical transformation of the channels. For “**+GB**” (**+LR**=**+GB**), for “**–LU**” (**+LR**=**+SP–LU**). In this way we can predict the activity of any of the introduced channels ...




Fig.2.12 Matrix identification of systemic dependency (MOTHER-SON) under **+LR**

General conclusions

1. Matrix examination of the systemic biophysical dependency according to the rule "Mother-Son (through the big circle)" confirms experimental data and structural consistency of "Makats's vegetative matrix."

1. Matrix examination of systemic biophysical dependency on the basis of the rules of the cycle of "STAR" confirms experimental data and structural consistency "Makats's vegetative matrix".

2. The introduced Matrix is functionally universal.

References

1. Makats V.G., Makats D.V., Makats E.F., Makats Dm.V. Lektsiya–3 Traditsionnyie gipoteticheskie osnovy kitayskoy Chzhen-tszyu terapii // Medizdat, RF, Refleksoterapevt– № 6/2011–P.4–14.
2. Makats V.G., Makats D.V., Makats E.F., Makats Dm.V. Lektsiya–5 Biofizicheskaya realnost prognoza vegetativnyih rasstroystv. Oshibki traditsionnoy kitayskoy igloterapii // Medizdat, RF, Refleksoterapevt № 11/2011–P.3–18.
3. Makats V.G., Makats D.V., Makats E.F., Makats Dm.V. Lektsiya–6 Sovremennyye problemyi diagnostiki vegetativnogo gomeostaza. Printsipialnaya originalnost novogo funktsionalnogo napravleniya (chast 1) // Medizdat, RF, Refleksoterapevt №12/2011–P.3–21.
4. Makats V., Makats D., Makats D., Makats E. Unknown Chinese acupuncture (reality, errors, problems). Vol. I – Vinnytsia: "Naukova Initsiatyva", Editorial office "Nilan Ltd.", 2016. – 276 p. ISBN 978-966-2932-80-5
5. Makats V., Makats D., Makats D., Makats E. Unknown Chinese acupuncture (biophysical atlas of systemic dependency). Vol.II – Vinnytsia: "Naukova Initsiatyva", Editorial office "Nilan Ltd.", 2016. – 214p. ISBN 978-966-2932-80-5